

# Design Considerations for Evaluating the Impact of PEPFAR

**U.S. Institute of Medicine**

**April 30 2007**

**Washington, DC**

**Paul De Lay, Director for Monitoring and Evaluation**

**UNAIDS, Geneva**

# Selected Global Evaluations of AIDS Initiatives/Institutions

Evaluation	Objectives	Constituency	Methods	Duration	Cost	The Big Questions
<b>PEPFAR</b>	Evaluate initial implementation of PEPFAR three years after authorization in selected focus countries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• U.S. Congress</li> <li>• General</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup> review of literature, reports, congressional documents</li> <li>• Information meetings</li> <li>• Key informants at Washington and country level</li> <li>• Review of available financial information without auditing</li> </ul>	2005-2007	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can quality services be scaled up?</li> <li>• Are existing policies and funding priorities appropriate?</li> <li>• Is PEPFAR aligned with country priorities and plans?</li> <li>• Is capacity being built</li> <li>• Not focus on impact at this time</li> </ul>
<b>Global Fund</b>	<p>SA 1- Governance, Management structures and operations</p> <p>SA 2- Country programs, strategies and system effects</p> <p>SA 3- Impact on three diseases</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Board</li> <li>• General</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup> data analysis</li> <li>• Key informants</li> <li>• Prospective surveys and studies</li> </ul>	2007-2008	\$ 17 million	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the current GF model working?</li> <li>• Can we improve governance</li> <li>• Are partners doing their share?</li> <li>• What are SWE?</li> <li>• Has the fund contributed to measurable impact?</li> </ul>

## Selected Global Evaluations of AIDS Initiatives/Institutions

Evaluation	Objectives	Constituency	Methods	Duration	Cost	The Big Questions
<b>UNAIDS - 1</b>	Review relevance and achievement of core objectives as prescribed by ECOSOC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Programme Coordinating Board</li> <li>• General</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review of key documents and existing data</li> <li>• Key informants at global and country levels</li> </ul>	2001-2002	\$ 2 million	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How to make UNAIDS more relevant and effective in a changing environment?</li> <li>• What are the main functions of UNAIDS and how to support them?</li> </ul>
<b>UNAIDS - 2</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Programme Coordinating Board</li> <li>• General</li> </ul>		Proposed 2008 - 2009		
<b>World Bank</b>	Assess Bank's role for filling country funding gaps and providing specific TA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Secretaries</li> <li>• General</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup> data analysis</li> <li>• Assessing funding gaps in selected countries</li> <li>• Key informants</li> </ul>	Proposed 2007	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What are the comparative advantages of World Bank grants?</li> <li>• What role can WB play in TA?</li> </ul>