

Lessons Learned from New Jersey's Post-
Exposure Prophylaxis Program Following the
Anthrax Attacks of 2001:

Application to Strategies for Use of Anti-Virals
during an Influenza Pandemic

Eddy A. Bresnitz, MD, MS

Deputy Commissioner/State Epidemiologist

NJ Dept. of Health and Senior Services



Anthrax Precipitating Event

- n Intentional release of powdered *B. anthracis* through USPS facilities and end-targets (September/October, 2001)
- n Extensive contamination of the USPS Trenton Processing and Distribution Center (PDC)
- n Several weeks between processing of letters and knowledge of exposure of postal employees

Basis of Initial DHSS PEP Recommendations

- n Initial recommendation: go to personal physician
- n No SOPs for drug distribution clinics
- n No authority to require hospitals to conduct PEP clinics
- n No stockpile of drugs
- n No educational materials prepared

CDC PEP Recommendations

- n Initial 7-day course of ciprofloxacin
- n Recommendation extended to 60 days of doxycycline (sensitive)
- n At end of 60 days, additional 40 days offered with or without vaccine (IND protocol required)

PEP Distribution Sites

n Three sites utilized:

– Robert Wood Univ. Hospital at Hamilton

(RWJUHH contracted Occupational Health Services for USPS)

– St. Francis Medical Center – Trenton

(Volunteered space and resources)

– Bellmawr postal administrative offices

(Arranged by USPS thru Cooper Hospital)

Site Selection - Hospital

Pros

- n Convenient location for hospital staff assisting in effort
- n Medical resources nearby
- n Urgent medical care available if needed
- n Secure storage of medication
- n Existing infrastructure (PR staff, pharmacists, security, parking, custodial staff, food services)

Cons

- n Inconvenient location for employees that live far away
- n Potential for regular hospital operations to be disrupted

Site Selection – USPS office

Pros

- n USPS workers familiar with the building
- n Diverted possible overcrowding at local hospitals

Cons

- n Difficult to maintain patient confidentiality
- n Need to transport all medical personnel and supplies to the site
- n No on-site emergency medical care – transport would need to be arranged

Role of Fed/State/Local Public Health Agencies

n State/Fed – NJDHSS/CDC

- Served as lead agency
- Procured medications
- Planned and staffed various PEP distribution events
- Provided staff to serve as site managers

n Local – Hamilton Twp. Health Dept.

- Assisted in planning RWJUHH PEP distribution event
- Provided staffing to assist at PEP distribution event

Obtaining PEP Supplies

- n Antibiotics were received from CDC National Pharmaceutical Stockpile – Vendor Managed Inventory
- n Meds provided in bulk bottles (required repackaging and re-labeling)
- n Supplies were sufficient

Drug Distribution Issues

- n Language/Literacy/Hearing Impaired
- n Volume flow/functioning work stations
- n Triage of ill individuals
- n Mental health issues
- n Staff fatigue and support
- n Protecting staff with PPE
- n Security

NJ Legal Response/Issue

- n Adoption of the NJ Emergency Health Powers Act (2005)
- n Outstanding issues:
 - Who can legally dispense medications during a PH emergency?
 - Use of IND in mass distribution?

Communication Challenges

- n Politically charged public health event
- n Rapidly unfolding event/changing science, guidance
- n Multiple agencies involved with no prior relationship to public health
- n Emergency Operations Center not updated regularly
- n Initial lack of trust
- n Lack of knowledge among postal employees regarding anthrax and PEP recommendations

Communication Strengths

- n Exposed persons were easily identified and could receive information via regular work channels
- n Health education team bridged the gap in knowledge and developed targeted interventions to enhance PEP adherence

We were lucky...

- n Anthrax not communicable
- n Relatively small number of people exposed and prophylaxed
- n People needing PEP readily identified due to nature of exposure

Adherence Issues

- n Many declined antibiotics
- n Many took only a few doses
- n Most completed only 60 days
- n Few accepted anthrax vaccine
- n High percentage with AE (99% minor)

Reasons for Non-Adherence

- n Fear of side effects
- n Confusion about efficacy of antibiotics
- n Gaps in knowledge about anthrax
- n Fear of developing antibiotic resistance
- n Lack of perception of personal susceptibility to anthrax
- n No illness in others not taking PEP
- n Being asymptomatic and not appreciating importance of prevention
- n Distrust of authorities
- n IND protocol for late recommendations

Pandemic Planning Assumptions

- n Highly contagious
- n Little/No immunity
- n Overwhelmed medical resources
- n Potential lack of vaccine in early stages of pandemic
- n Potential for public outrage

Applying the anthrax experience to pandemic planning

- n Need for larger medication distribution venues
- n Greater overall staffing needs
- n Greater secure storage space for medications
- n Need large, coordinated, multi-agency approach

Applying the anthrax experience to pandemic planning (cont'd)

- n Training volunteers
- n Delineating responsibilities
- n Promoting adherence
- n Evaluation of adverse effects
- n Education, education, education

How to improve adherence

- n Culturally appropriate, timely education
- n Get input from stakeholders: focus groups
- n Trusted educators/health communicators
- n Multi-media approach
- n Address personal concerns
- n Emphasize personal benefit and benefit to others
- n Eliminate need for IND protocols